

VOLUME III

Shared Community Record

**Submitted in Connection with the Board of Aldermen and Planning and Zoning
Commission Petitions**

Proposed Asphalt Operation · 50 Roelker Road · Wright City, Missouri

Current through July 19, 2026

Table of Contents

Scope and Cutoff Date	3
Verified Signatures Supporting the Board Rescission and §410.010(G) Request	4
Community Response Statistics and Charts	7
Community Issue Matrix	8
Authorized Community Comments	9

Scope and Cutoff Date

The community petition remains open, and the number of verified signatures and identified concerns continues to grow. To provide a complete and manageable submission to the respective Board and Commission members, inclusion of new responses was temporarily paused and this volume was finalized using information received through July 19, 2026. The petitioners reserve the opportunity to submit additional verified signatures, concerns, comments, or supporting information if additional public-comment, filing, or review opportunities become available.

This record is submitted once and is incorporated by reference into both the Board of Aldermen petition (Volume I) and the Planning and Zoning Commission petition (Volume II).

Verified Signatures Supporting the Board Rescission and §410.010(G) Request

The existing signature statement specifically supports the request that the Board of Aldermen rescind the June 11, 2026 conditional site-plan approval and require compliance with §410.010(G) (Volume I). These signatures are not represented as separate signatures on the Planning and Zoning Commission petition (Volume II) unless the signer expressly authorized that request. The survey responses, concerns, issue matrix, statistics, and authorized comments that follow are also provided to the Commission as relevant community information.

89 verified responses, current through July 19, 2026. Signers who asked to remain private are listed as "Name withheld for public viewing" and are included in the count. Full contact details are retained by the organizers and available to the City for verification on request.

#	PRINTED NAME	RESIDENCE / AREA	LEARNED
1	Christian Carmack	Roelker Road	6/25
2	Kayla Simpson	Wright City Limits	6/25
3	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road, Wright City Limits, Totter's Ridge Sub.	6/25
4	Kayla Ochoa	Roelker Road, Trotters Creek	6/26
5	Nathan Hessee	Roelker Road, Auburn Meadows	6/26
6	Nicki Sparks & Tyler	Roelker Road	6/26
7	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	6/26
8	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Alder Creek	6/26
9	Leah Taylor	Alder Creek	6/27
10	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road	6/27
11	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road, Wright City Limits, Ivie League neighborhood-Peruque Creek	6/27
12	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road	6/27
13	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road, Wright City Limits	6/27
14	Brian P Hanfgarn	Wright City Limits	6/28
15	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City area (address on file)	6/30
16	Brooks Wegrzyn	Roelker Road	7/1
17	James L. Key	Wright City Limits	7/1
18	Josh Sher	Roelker Road, Wright City Limits	7/1
19	Joyce Wheeler	Wright City Limits	7/1
20	Samantha Wirtz	Roelker Road, Wright City Limits	7/1
21	Tyler Sparks	Roelker Road	7/1
22	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road, Country Meadows Court Subdivision	7/1
23	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road	7/1
24	Jessica Grow	My parents live in Wright City Limits	7/2
25	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road, Wright City Limits	7/2
26	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road	7/2
27	Matthew Worlitz	Alder Creek	7/4
28	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	West 2nd St South	7/5

#	PRINTED NAME	RESIDENCE / AREA	LEARNED
29	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	7/5
30	Alex Osborne	Roelker Road, Wright City Limits, Trotters Creek	7/9
31	Allison Conn	County	7/9
32	Caleb M Holladay	Wright City Limits	7/9
33	Cathy Osborne	Roelker Road	7/9
34	Cole D Holladay	Wright City Limits	7/9
35	Jackie Wheeler-Stilwell	Wright City Limits, horse farm next to the plant	7/9
36	James Holladay	Wright City Limits	7/9
37	Larry Zuhone	Roelker Road	7/9
38	Luis Quintero	Alder Creek	7/9
39	Mary Groeper	Wright City Limits	7/9
40	Ralph Ramey	Wright City Limits	7/9
41	Roy Ramey	Alder Creek	7/9
42	Ryan J Holladay	Wright City Limits	7/9
43	Teresa K. Holladay	Wright City Limits	7/9
44	Tyler M. Holladay	Wright City Limits	7/9
45	Vicki Zuhone	Roelker Road, Wright City Limits	7/9
46	William Truman Kennon	Wright City Limits	7/9
47	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	7/9
48	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wentzville resident, work in Wright City	7/9
49	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	7/9
50	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road	7/9
51	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	7/9
52	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	7/9
53	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road	7/9
54	Bill Blake	Hwy F, .5 miles from proposed plant	7/10
55	Zack S Mitchell	Roelker Road	7/10
56	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City area (address on file)	7/10
57	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road	7/10
58	Daniel Wolfe	Roelker Road	7/11

#	PRINTED NAME	RESIDENCE / AREA	LEARNED
59	Darlene Elizabeth Grisham	Alexis Estate	7/12
60	Jackie Ramey	Alder Creek	7/12
61	Aaron Holiway	Harvest Acres	7/13
62	Julia Ramey	Bell Road	7/13
63	Kathy Sivils	Roelker Road	7/13
64	Miriam Mora	Wright City	7/13
65	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	7/13
66	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road, Wright City Limits	7/13
67	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City High School students	7/13
68	Eric Thurman Hill	Wright City Limits, The Shire	7/14
69	Jennefer Brown	Spring Hill	7/14
70	Joshua Pace	Wright City Limits	7/14
71	Patty A Krueger	Wright City Limits	7/14
72	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City area (address on file)	7/14
73	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City area (address on file)	7/14
74	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City area (address on file)	7/14
75	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	7/14
76	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	7/14
77	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	7/14
78	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City area (address on file)	7/14
79	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City Limits	7/14
80	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Auburn Meadows	7/14
81	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road	7/14
82	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Wright City area (address on file)	7/14
83	Sarah	Wright City Limits	7/15
84	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Roelker Road	7/15
85	J'Aimè Vogt	Alder Creek, just across the street from new high school back gate	7/17

#	PRINTED NAME	RESIDENCE / AREA	LEARNED
86	Marcella Holiway	Harvest Acres, across Hwy F	7/17
87	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Oberlag Dr	7/17
88	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Oberlag Dr	7/17
89	<i>Name withheld for public viewing</i>	Alder Creek	7/19

Compiled from the community petition form. Legal-review (Track 2) responses are confidential and are not part of this record.

Community Response Statistics and Charts

Purpose: to summarize aggregate petition responses without identifying individual respondents. Percentages reflect responses to each survey question; respondents could select more than one option, so percentages are not expected to total 100 percent.

Contents: top code issues signers want reviewed; individualized harm or concern; where signers reside by subdivision or area. See *Chart - What Petitioners Said (Issues Selected).dc.html* for the full chart set accompanying this record.

Community Issue Matrix

COMMUNITY QUESTION OR CONCERN	RELATED PETITION TOPIC	PRIMARY RECORD OR AUTHORITY REQUESTED
Is an asphalt plant expressly listed as an M-1 use?	Use classification and permitted-use determination	Chapter 405; written classification and decision-maker
Does the operation belong in M-1 or M-2?	Processing of raw or semi-finished materials	§§405.560 and 405.570; operational description
Was a conditional-use process or public hearing required?	Approval pathway and public participation	Chapter 405; notices, agendas, minutes, staff findings
Were the parcels legally consolidated before approval?	Legal lot configuration and site-plan basis	Ordinance No. 1108; §410.010; plats and deeds
What legal lot was used for site calculations?	Coverage, storage, setbacks, and height	Approved site plan, calculations, staff review
What supports the traffic and safety findings?	Roelker Road, railroad crossing, schools, bus routes	Traffic study, engineering review, conditions, route plans
What supports drainage and environmental representations?	Peruque Creek, stormwater, wells, surrounding property	Engineering, drainage, stormwater, agency records
What written findings support the approval?	Compatibility, public welfare, administrative record	§405.100(A)(4)(d); findings, recommendations, conditions

Authorized Community Comments

Reproduced substantially as submitted, spacing normalized for readability. Names appear only when the respondent selected "Publicly, with my name." Other comments are labeled "Name withheld by request." Contact information and legal-track information are excluded. These statements reflect individual concerns and are not presented as independently verified technical findings.

Aaron Holiway — I own a business in town and I also live pretty close to the proposed plant. I am concerned about odors, noise, and ground contamination. I am close enough that I fear there will be an odor and I'm worried about groundwater contamination.

Alex Osborne — I'm afraid it's going to pollute the air and we won't feel safe spending time in our own yard. I feel like this plant will put a foul smell in the air and destroy roads while lowering the property value all around. With the pollution in the air, we will not be able to enjoy our yard or garden.

Allison Conn — We have friends and family nearby with small children. They are already impacted by the school traffic. The area is already saturated. I am concerned with air and water quality within the city limits where local businesses reside. Nobody will come to our businesses with the smell. Nobody will want to start a business. It hurts the community as a whole.

Name withheld by request — I don't want an asphalt plant to mess up the value of our home, as well as for future marketability. I also do not want my family to be smelling things or inhaling cancerous chemicals when we step outside our house. I think they are entirely too close to the high school and home subdivisions. Living close to an asphalt plant, there is risk of exposure and inhalation of organic chemicals that easily evaporate, such as formaldehyde, toluene, benzene, naphthalene, hexane, and phenol, and inhalable dust and soot particles (PM10/PM2.5), which can carry toxic compounds deep into the lungs. Immediate odors and strong health impacts from chemical fumes are generally most concentrated within a 1 to 4-mile radius.

Name withheld by request — We live very close to this site. It will bring our property value down. I'm sure there will be an odor. Will the air be clean for my family or will we develop health issues over a while? The creek runs through our property, will it add contamination? We are concerned it will change the quality of water and wildlife in our part of the creek.

Name withheld by request — We live down the road and plan to sell in a few years, will our home value continue to grow or will the plant adversely affect the home value? How will my quality of life be affected? There will be an odor, increased heavy truck traffic, and noise that could make using the outside less enjoyable.

Name withheld by request — My children attend the high school. We live within a few miles from this plant location. It will decrease our home value. I am concerned about the health risks this will bring.

Name withheld by request — The school where I work is less than a mile from this approved site. This will have detrimental effects on our students' outdoor play experience and possibly also affect the health of students and staff with respiratory issues.

Name withheld by request — Prop. value decline; health — air, water, sound, noise; truck traffic and road quality; city will decline; no other communities or companies will move here. My health — breathing fumes and noise will cause problems; I won't be able to be outside with fumes affecting air quality; there will be no peace with noise from plant, which increases stress levels; fighting truck traffic will be an issue for me; and I believe groundwater and wildlife will be negatively affected.

Name withheld by request — Placing an industrial asphalt plant next to children who play outside daily is a toxic gamble that will expose developing lungs to hazardous chemicals, permanent respiratory damage, and cancer-causing carcinogens. Shame on the people who even approved this idea. Operating a heavy industrial asphalt plant directly next to our neighborhood will severely impact my household's health, safety, and property — toxic air emissions, fine particulate matter, and known carcinogens like benzene and formaldehyde, risking permanent respiratory damage. Prevailing winds will carry fugitive silica dust from open aggregate stockpiles onto my home and vehicles, creating a constant cleaning burden and physical property damage. The toxic odors from hot-mix production will destroy our daily quality of life, preventing us from opening windows or using our backyard, severely eroding our home's property value. Flooding our residential streets with heavy-duty dump trucks introduces dangerous diesel exhaust and an immediate traffic hazard for neighborhood children.

Name withheld by request — I believe the property is going to cause environmental, noise, light, and other pollution issues that will be unsafe for those around the plant and within the community. Being so close to a school and other residential properties will create issues for the growth of our community. The community should have been more informed on these decisions, specifically considering zoning laws and the happenings of the governing bodies. We need more transparency in these type of matters and a better way to contribute to the conversation. With so much opposition to this plant, the governing body should review the plans and take community input into consideration.

Name withheld by request — Safety of my family.

Name withheld by request — You're killing the environment and our children, be better. Asphalt smells terrible! That stench cannot be contained. This plant is going to be in the middle of our small town, right on the same road as the high school. Many safety concerns with that one! Not to mention the wear and tear on city streets.

Bill Blake — Property values everywhere in Wright City will certainly decrease due to obnoxious noise and odors, and may very well affect the health of residents in the area. I'm a licensed realtor, and am well aware of the effects that obnoxious industrial operations can have on property values in the vicinity of such plants. I'm also concerned about health if I'm forced to breathe the odor and chemicals output by an asphalt processing plant.

Brian P Hanfgarn — I purchased my home to live in a semi rural setting. I did not purchase it to live right next to an industrial park. I do not want to smell hot asphalt when I walk out my front door, nor do I want to breathe in VOCs. Building it near a high school and neighborhood is idiotic. I will vote out any official that approves projects like this. This plant should be built on the outskirts of the city, not right in the middle where people live.

Name withheld by request — I'm a homeowner on Roelker with children who attend the new high school. Noise, resale value, smells.

Brooks Wegrzyn — It will cause a mess on Roelker Rd and the surrounding area. It will also smell. It needs to be built away from schools and residential zoning.

Name withheld by request — I live off Roelker Rd. It affects everything.

Caleb M Holladay — Decrease in property value, noise and air pollution affecting living quality, excessive heavy equipment traffic, excessive noise.

Name withheld by request — The air pollution and realtor reviews show it would greatly decrease home value. Due to the smell, no one will want to move to the Wright City area.

Name withheld by request — It affects the property values and quality of life to surrounding properties. Property value.

Name withheld by request — It shouldn't be close to residential area.

Cathy Osborne — The plant should not be in the middle of residential. Traffic and odors.

Name withheld by request — Will hurt property value tremendously. I have children and do not want them inhaling fumes from said asphalt plant.

Christian Carmack — This affects my home value, my livelihood, the health of my children and myself, and my environment. A 2-mile radius or less is affected by the air quality; home value decreases significantly.

Cole D Holladay — Decrease in property value, noise and air pollution affecting living quality, excessive heavy equipment traffic, excessive noise.

Name withheld by request — Smell, noise, traffic, property value, school safety, school transportation safety.

Daniel Wolfe — Lower property value, school safety.

Darlene Elizabeth Grisham — I'm concerned for future property value.

Name withheld by request — Not safe.

Name withheld by request — Why does our small town need an asphalt plant that is potentially going to decrease property value and is so bad for all of us to inhale, not to mention right down the street from the brand new high school? I understand wanting to expand and grow Wright City, but let's do it the right way; no one wants to live this close to an asphalt plant, and it is basically going to be sitting in the back yard of some people's houses.

Eric Thurman Hill — Value of my home living close to industrial businesses. Whether my house will lose value living close to industrial business like this, because homes typically lose value when closer to industrial buildings and businesses.

Name withheld by request — It doesn't belong anywhere near a residential area.

Jackie Ramey — Home value, health, community morale, civic unrest, welfare, safety for kids in our neighborhood playing on the common ground, water, our reputation as a city. Our subdivision builder left; new potential buyer stalled; hard to sell; resale value; hard to enjoy; danger to kids around the area exploring; smell, smell, SMELL, from experience; health issues with headaches from strong smells; water table — Peruque Creek is protected and runoff will eventually end up there.

Jackie Wheeler-Stilwell — I will move and lose over half of my property value.

James Holladay — The property is directly north on the other side of the RR tracks on West North 2nd Street. Decrease in property value, noise and air pollution affecting living quality, excessive heavy equipment traffic, excessive noise.

James L. Key — Traffic, environment, proximity to new school, property values. We have grandchildren playing sports.

Jennefer Brown — Children's safety driving or walking to school. Smell and after-effects of breathing the dust in. Roads cannot handle this type of business. My property value. While we are on the other side of the highway, we have children in the school district — who wants to buy a house with a concrete plant in close proximity?

Jessica Grow — I was only able to select one option to be reviewed above. I selected other because I would like ALL to be reviewed. My extended family gathers frequently at my parents' home less than a mile from the proposed site, of which I am the heir. I work locally at Liberty Christian Academy and my niece attends Wright City High School. I am concerned how this will affect air and water quality, road safety, and property values.

Name withheld by request — It will cause foul odor and too much heavy load traffic. Nobody will want to live near this type of business.

Name withheld by request — Toxic chemicals. Chemicals and toxic exposures.

Josh Sher — An asphalt plant in this location will decrease the value of our homes, decrease the value of all businesses, and ruin any chance Wright City has at revitalizing this community.

Joshua Pace — Quality of living, property values, safety of children traveling to the high school. Who would want to purchase a house next to an asphalt plant willingly, unless the price of the house is well below what it's valued for?

Joyce Wheeler — I cannot click more than one in the previous question. This will devalue my property significantly. We have stayed here for beautiful and clean country life and this is the opposite. I will not be able to sell for its worth, and I will sell as I cannot live downstream and downwind from an asphalt plant.

Julia Ramey — Asphalt plant will deter people from purchasing housing, which in turn will lower property values.

Name withheld by request — Decrease property value, health concerns. Devalue property, health concerns.

Name withheld by request — Property values, traffic, SMELL, pollution.

Name withheld by request — Difficulty getting our child to and from daycare and getting to work on time on an already busy road, eyesore, concerns about smell, property values, environmental concerns.

Kathy Sivils — I drive Roelker Road every day! I will be selling my house if this goes through! Housing value will go down!

Kayla Ochoa — Putting an asphalt plant in this location could potentially affect my family's health, due to polluted air being emitted from said facility. It could potentially affect the value of my house, because nobody will want to move into a home less than a mile from this type of business. I am also concerned for the traffic jams this could cause with 100+ trucks leaving this facility daily, combined with all the residents who live off of Roelker and the school traffic.

Kayla Simpson — Property value and students' safety.

Name withheld by request — The proposed asphalt plant would negatively affect our neighborhood by increasing heavy truck traffic on a small two-lane road that was not designed for that volume of industrial traffic. With a brand new high school nearby, this raises serious concerns about student safety, congestion, and the overall quality of life for residents. We chose to live in this community because of its residential character, and an industrial facility of this type is not compatible with the surrounding homes, families, and schools. My household relies on this road for daily travel. If the asphalt plant is approved, we expect our daily commute to be impacted by increased commercial truck traffic. We intentionally purchased a home in a quiet residential area, and this development would change the environment we experience every day.

Name withheld by request — We are afraid of the pollution, smell, and decrease of our property value. Why is such a large industrial operation being allowed near residential homes? Pollution, poisoning of our ground water, odor, property value decrease, noise, etc.

Larry Zuhone — Home and land value. Lower value.

Leah Taylor — I live in Alder Creek and worry about my property value.

Luis Quintero — Asphalt plant is an environmental hazard, by air pollution, road noise and property value. I'm a veteran with PTSD; noises and vibration can affect my mental health. My spouse has respiratory issues. This plant will affect quality of life.

Name withheld by request — Putting an asphalt company in the heart of a small town where thousands of tax paying citizens have to deal with the poor decision making of a council that didn't thoroughly look into how it would impact the people that have lived here for decades.

Name withheld by request — Asthma concerns, traffic with Roelker only being a 2 lane road with residents and the high school, asphalt is a known carcinogen, dump trucks causing road damage that our tax dollars repair the roads, why is an asphalt plant being built in such close quarters to homes & a school with developing kids? Noise from plant could interfere with the kids learning. Go build it next to the Scott's fertilizer plant or Certainteed Roofing products plants. Lowering of property value, noise, dust & particulate matter, odor & possibly reselling of property.

Mary Groeper — Our deck is our happy place. Most evenings you will find my husband and I sitting on the deck with our little dog. I am not looking forward to smelling asphalt every time I step outside. I am also very worried about the trucks intertwined with school traffic on Roelker Rd, the wear and tear on Roelker Rd, and the safety of all who use it. I don't feel that an asphalt plant should be covered light industrial!

Matthew Worlitz — We have safety concerns and home property value concerns. Asphalt produces hazardous by-products and we will be breathing this stuff in and having it seep into our groundwater. We were never told about this prior to buying our home.

Name withheld by request — I already have health problems, don't need to add to them.

Miriam Mora — It affects all the above. I am concerned about the air quality in my community, the water pollution, and the fact that it's an industrial plant in the middle of town!!

Nathan Hessee — We are concerned about property value — nothing says diminished property value like throwing an asphalt plant in the middle of/near a residential area and school.

Name withheld by request — Property value and added traffic and smell.

Nicki Sparks & Tyler — The better question is how doesn't it negatively affect property values, health and school safety!?

Name withheld by request — My immediate family lives in the area.

Patty A Krueger — I'm concerned for residents that live that close. I'm concerned what it might do to our new HS and the environment around it.

Name withheld by request — Traffic.

Name withheld by request — I don't want to live that close to a noisy plant that can be very smelly and disruptive to my new home. We just bought this house. I also don't want the kids at the high school to have to be that close to it. I'm not opposed to the plant altogether, it just needs to be further away from our homes and schools. This is not something that should be built so close to homes and a school. Find a different location for the plant that is NOT right next to people's homes.

Ralph Ramey — Concerned about city property values and smell.

Roy Ramey — Property values and health. Property values.

Ryan J Holladay — Decrease in property value, noise and air pollution affecting living quality, excessive heavy equipment traffic, excessive noise.

Samantha Wirtz — It will drive my property value down, I don't want the smell, and there's already enough traffic in that area. I don't want my children breathing in the fumes when they play outside. I also worry about the traffic; traffic is already rough in that spot.

Sarah — Who wants a tar plant next to residences, schools, and right off the 70 corridor? We can do better.

Name withheld by request — I want all the above questions answered. Traffic, air quality and health. My daughter has asthma. She attends high school here. I am worried about property values.

Teresa K. Holladay — Decrease in property value, noise and air pollution affecting living quality, excessive heavy equipment traffic, excessive noise.

Name withheld by request — Safety of the students going to and from the high school, the odor, breathing in the chemicals, increased traffic with large trucks, the roadway is not set for increased commercial truck traffic, run off into the creeks affecting our water. The livestock within the block of the proposed plant. Children attend High School and play sports — imagine having to breathe in the fumes while exercising, increased lung function issues, allergic reaction to the chemical odor, water runoff affecting water streams and overall ground water, increased traffic getting to and from school safely and timely.

Tyler M. Holladay — Decrease in property value, noise and air pollution affecting living quality, excessive heavy equipment traffic, excessive noise.

Tyler Sparks — Negatively. Asphalt plant in residential area.

Vicki Zuhone — Property values will be dramatically decreased and the pollution will be a concern. Decreased property value.

Name withheld by request — It will make Roelker busier during morning commutes on an already busy road, lower property values, and environmental concerns.

William Truman Kennon — We live very close to this site. It will bring our property value down. I'm sure there will be an odor. Will the air be clean for my family or will we develop health issues over a while?

Zack S Mitchell — Live less than a mile away, concerned about the smell. Odors.

Marcella Holway — My child goes to Wright City schools, my husband and I own businesses in town, and our home is less than 2 miles from the plant. I drive Roelker Road regularly. Any potential homeowner would find our city administration to be incompetent. An asphalt plant in city limits is ludicrous.

J'Aimè Vogt — Three in my home with ongoing sensitive health issues, only half a mile away, across the street from Alder Creek. We have an entire industrial zone on the other side of us, which is where we expect these sorts of businesses to stay. Curious why it's zoned that way over there. On the north side of the tracks there was a trailer park for sale a while back; we wanted to turn it into a tiny home village, then found out we couldn't because it wasn't zoned for residential anymore — is that because they knew the asphalt plant was coming? On well, half a mile from plant.

Name withheld by request — We moved out here to get away from things like this. The traffic is terrible already with the high school. We don't need more noise, traffic, odors, etc. This will completely decrease my property value and increase more traffic, which is terrible enough, along with noise.

Name withheld by request — This will completely decrease my property value and increase more traffic, which is terrible enough. Along with noise.

Name withheld by request — Property Value.